arXiv:1701.08417v1 [math.CO] 29 Jan 2017

# The Hadwiger number, chordal graphs and *ab*-perfection*

Christian Rubio-Montiel
*christian@matem.unam.mx*

Instituto de Matemáticas,
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
04510, Mexico City, Mexico

Department of Algebra,
Comenius University,
84248, Bratislava, Slovakia

January 31, 2017

**Abstract**

A graph is *chordal* if every induced cycle has three vertices. The *Hadwiger number* is the order of the largest complete minor of a graph. We characterize the chordal graphs in terms of the Hadwiger number and we also characterize the families of graphs such that for each induced subgraph $H$, (1) the Hadwiger number of $H$ is equal to the maximum clique order of $H$, (2) the Hadwiger number of $H$ is equal to the achromatic number of $H$, (3) the $b$-chromatic number is equal to the pseudoachromatic number, (4) the pseudo-$b$-chromatic number is equal to the pseudoachromatic number, (5) the Hadwiger number of $H$ is equal to the Grundy number of $H$, and (6) the $b$-chromatic number is equal to the pseudo-Grundy number.

***Keywords:*** Complete colorings, perfect graphs, forbidden graphs characterization.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C17; 05C15; 05C83.

*Research partially supported by CONACyT-Mexico, Grants 178395, 166306; PAPIIT-Mexico, Grant IN104915; a Postdoctoral fellowship of CONACyT-Mexico; and the National scholarship programme of the Slovak republic.

# 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite graph. A *$k$-coloring* of $G$ is a surjective function $\varsigma$ that assigns a number from the set $[k] := \{1, \ldots, k\}$ to each vertex of $G$. A $k$-coloring $\varsigma$ of $G$ is called *proper* if any two adjacent vertices have different colors, and $\varsigma$ is called *complete* if for each pair of different colors $i, j \in [k]$ there exists an edge $xy \in E(G)$ such that $x \in \varsigma^{-1}(i)$ and $y \in \varsigma^{-1}(j)$. A $k$-coloring $\varsigma$ of a connected graph $G$ is called *connected* if for all $i \in [k]$, each color class $\varsigma^{-1}(i)$ induces a connected subgraph of $G$.

The *chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of $G$* is the smallest number $k$ for which there exists a proper $k$-coloring of $G$. The *Hadwiger number $h(G)$* is the maximum $k$ for which a connected and complete coloring of a connected graph $G$ exists, and it is defined as the maximum $h(H)$ among the connected components $H$ of a disconnected graph $G$ (it is also known as *the connected-pseudoachromatic number*, see [1]).

A graph $H$ is called a *minor* of the graph $G$ if and only if $H$ can be formed from $G$ by deleting edges and vertices and by contracting edges. Suppose that $K_k$ is a minor of a connected graph $G$. If $V(K_k) = [k]$ then there exists a natural corresponding complete $k$-coloring $\varsigma\colon G \to [k]$ for which $\varsigma^{-1}(i)$ is exactly the set of vertices of $G$ which contract to vertex $i$ in $K_k$. The *Hadwiger number $h(G)$* of a graph $G$ is the largest $k$ for which $K_k$ is a minor of $G$. Clearly,

$$\omega(G) \leq h(G) \tag{1}$$

where $\omega(G)$ denotes the *clique number* of $G$: the maximum clique order of $G$.

The Hadwiger number was introduced by Hadwiger in 1943 [14] together the *Hadwiger conjecture* which states that $\chi(G) \leq h(G)$ for any graph $G$.

The following definition is an extension of the notion of *perfect graph*, introduced by Berge [4]: Let $a, b$ be two distinct parameters of $G$. A graph $G$ is called *$ab$-perfect* if for every induced subgraph $H$ of $G$, $a(H) = b(H)$. Note that, with this definition a perfect graph is denoted by $\omega\chi$-perfect. The concept of the $ab$-perfect graphs was introduced by Christen and Selkow in [8] and extended in [3, 2, 6, 18, 19, 20].

A graph $G$ without an induced subgraph $H$ is called *$H$-free*. A graph $H_1$-free, $H_2$-free,... is called *$(H_1, H_2, \ldots)$-free*. A *chordal graph* is a $(C_4, C_5, \ldots)$-free one.

Some known results are the following: Lóvasz proved in [17] that a graph $G$ is $\omega\chi$-perfect if and only if its complement is $\omega\chi$-perfect. Chudnovsky, Robertson, Seymour and Thomas proved in [9] that a graph $G$ is $\omega\chi$-perfect if and only if $G$ and its complement are $(C_5, C_7, \ldots)$-free.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we prove that the families of chordal graphs and the family of $\omega h$-perfect graphs are the same. In Section 3, we give some consequences of the Section 2 as characterizations of other graph families related to complete colorings.

## 2 Chordal graphs and $\omega h$-perfect graphs

We will use the following chordal graph characterization to prove Theorem 2.2:

**Theorem 2.1** (Hajnal, Surányi [15] and Dirac [10])**.** *A graph $G$ is chordal if and only if $G$ can be obtained by identifying two complete subgraphs of the same order in two chordal graphs.*

Now, we characterize the chordal graphs and the $\omega h$-perfect ones. The following proof is based on the standard proof of the chordal graph perfection (see [7]).

**Theorem 2.2.** *A graph $G$ is $\omega h$-perfect if and only if $G$ is chordal.*

*Proof.* Assume that $G$ is $\omega h$-perfect. Note that if a cycle $H$ is one of four or more vertices then $\omega(H) = 2$ and $h(H) = 3$. Hence, every induced cycle of $G$ has at the most 3 vertices and the implication is true.

Now, we verify the converse. Since every induced subgraph of a chordal graph is also a chordal graph, it suffices to show that if $G$ is a connected chordal graph, then $\omega(G) = h(G)$. We proceed by induction on the order $n$ of $G$. If $n = 1$, then $G = K_1$ and $\omega(G) = h(G) = 1$. Assume, therefore, that $\omega(H) = h(H)$ for every induced chordal graph $H$ of order less than $n$ for $n \geq 2$ and let $G$ be a chordal graph of order $n$. If $G$ is a complete graph, then $\omega(G) = h(G) = n$. Hence, we may assume that $G$ is not complete. By Theorem 2.1, $G$ can be obtained from two chordal graphs $H_1$ and $H_2$ by identifying two complete subgraphs of the same order in $H_1$ and $H_2$. Let $S$ denote the set of vertices in $G$ that belong to $H_1$ and $H_2$. Thus the induced subgraph $\langle S \rangle_G$ in $G$ by $S$ is complete and no vertex in $V(H_1) \setminus S$ is adjacent to a vertex in $V(H_2) \setminus S$. Hence,

$$\omega(G) = \max\{\omega(H_1), \omega(H_2)\} = k.$$

Moreover, according to the induction hypothesis, $\omega(H_1) = h(H_1)$ and $\omega(H_2) = h(H_2)$, then

$$\max\{\omega(H_1), \omega(H_2)\} = \max\{h(H_1), h(H_2)\} = k.$$

On the other hand, since $S$ is a clique cut then each walk between $V(H_1) \setminus S$ and $V(H_2) \setminus S$ contains at least one vertex in $S$. Let $\varsigma$ be a pseudo-connected $h(G)$-coloring of $G$, and suppose there exist two color classes such that one is completely contained in $V(H_1) \setminus S$, and the other one is completely contained in $V(H_2) \setminus S$. Clearly these two color classes do not intersect, which contradicts our choice of $\varsigma$. Moreover, each color class with vertices both in

$V(H_1) \setminus S$ and in $V(H_2) \setminus S$, contains vertices in $S$. Consequently, every pair of color classes having vertices both in $V(H_1) \setminus S$ and in $V(H_2) \setminus S$ must have an incidence in $\langle S \rangle_G$. Thus,

$$h(G) \leq \max\{h(H_1), h(H_2)\} = k.$$

By Equation 1, $\omega(G) = k = h(G)$ and the result follows. □

It is known that every chordal graph is a $\omega\chi$-perfect one (see [7]). The following corollary is a consequence of the chordal graph perfection.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Every $\omega h$-perfect graph is $\omega\chi$-perfect.*

# 3 Other classes of *ab*-perfect graphs

In this section, we give a new characterization of several family of *ab*-perfect graphs related to complete colorings.

## 3.1 Achromatic and pseudoachromatic numbers

Firstly, the *pseudoachromatic number* $\psi(G)$ of $G$ is the largest number $k$ for which there exists a complete $k$-coloring of $G$ [13], and it is easy to see that

$$\omega(G) \leq h(G) \leq \psi(G). \tag{2}$$

Secondly, the *achromatic number* $\alpha(G)$ of $G$ is the largest number $k$ for which there exists a proper and complete $k$-coloring of $G$ [16], and it is not hard to see that

$$\omega(G) \leq \alpha(G) \leq \psi(G). \tag{3}$$

Complete bipartite graphs have achromatic number two (see [7]) but their Hadwiger number can be arbitrarily large, while the graph formed by the union of $K_2$ has Hadwiger number two but its achromatic number can be arbitrarily large. Therefore, $\alpha$ and $h$ are two non comparable parameters. We will use the following characterization in the proof of Corollary 3.2.

**Theorem 3.1** (Araujo-Pardo, R-M [3, 2])**.** *A graph $G$ is $\omega\psi$-perfect if and only if $G$ is $(C_4, P_4, P_3 \cup K_2, 3K_2)$-free.*

Corollary 3.2 is an interesting result because it gives a characterization of two non comparable parameters.

**Corollary 3.2.** *A graph $G$ is $\alpha h$-perfect if and only if $G$ is $\omega\psi$-perfect.*

*Proof.* Since $h(C_4) = \alpha(P_4) = \alpha(P_3 \cup K_2) = \alpha(3K_2) = 3$ and $\alpha(C_4) = h(P_4) = h(P_3 \cup K_2) = h(3K_2) = 2$ (see Figure 1) then a $\alpha h$-perfect graph is $(C_4, P_4, P_3 \cup K_2, 3K_2)$-free. By Theorem 3.1, $G$ is $\omega\psi$-perfect.

For the converse, if $G$ is $\omega\psi$-perfect, then by Equation 2, $G$ is a $\omega h$-perfect graph, thus, the implication follows. □

**Corollary 3.3.** *Every $\omega\psi$-perfect graph is $\omega\chi$-perfect.*

*Proof.* If a graph $G$ is $\omega\psi$-perfect then Equation (2) implies that $G$ is $\omega h$-perfect, and by Theorem 2.2 $G$ is chordal, therefore $G$ is $\omega\chi$-perfect. □

The following corollary is a consequence of the perfection of $\omega\psi$-perfect graphs.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Every $\alpha h$-perfect graph is $\omega\chi$-perfect.*

## 3.2 $b$-chromatic and pseudo-$b$-chromatic numbers

On one hand, a coloring such that every color class contains a vertex that has a neighbor in every other color class is called *dominating*. The *pseudo-b-chromatic number* $B(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the largest integer $k$ such that $G$ admits a dominating $k$-coloring.

On the other hand, the *b-chromatic number* $b(G)$ of $G$ is the largest number $k$ for which there exists a proper and dominating $k$-coloring of $G$ [6], therefore

$$\omega(G) \leq b(G) \leq B(G) \leq \psi(G). \tag{4}$$

We get the following characterizations:

**Corollary 3.5.** *For any graph $G$ the following are equivalent: $\langle 1 \rangle$ $G$ is $\omega\psi$-perfect, $\langle 2 \rangle$ $G$ is $b\psi$-perfect, $\langle 3 \rangle$ $G$ is $B\psi$-perfect and $\langle 4 \rangle$ $G$ is $(C_4, P_4, P_3 \cup K_2, 3K_2)$-free.*

*Proof.* The proofs of $\langle 1 \rangle \Rightarrow \langle 2 \rangle$ and $\langle 2 \rangle \Rightarrow \langle 3 \rangle$ immediately follow from (4). To prove $\langle 3 \rangle \Rightarrow \langle 4 \rangle$ note that, if $H \in \{C_4, P_4, P_3 \cup K_2, 3K_2\}$ then $B(H) \neq \psi(H)$, hence the implication is true, see Figure 1. The proof of $\langle 4 \rangle \Rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$ is a consequence of Theorem 3.1. □

The following corollary is a consequence of Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5.

**Corollary 3.6.** *The $b\psi$-perfect graphs and the $B\psi$-perfect ones are $\omega\chi$-perfect.*

Corollary 3.5 is related to the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.7** (Christen, Selkow [8] and Blidia, Ikhlef, Maffray [6])**.** *For any graph $G$ the following are equivalent: $\langle 1\rangle$ $G$ is $\omega\alpha$-perfect, $\langle 2\rangle$ $G$ is $b\alpha$-perfect and $\langle 3\rangle$ $G$ is $(P_4, P_3 \cup K_2, 3K_2)$-free.*

## 3.3 Grundy and pseudo-Grundy numbers

First, a coloring of $G$ is called *pseudo-Grundy* if each vertex is adjacent to some vertex of each smaller color. The *pseudo-Grundy number* $\gamma(G)$ is the maximum $k$ for which a pseudo-Grundy $k$-coloring of $G$ exists (see [5, 7]).

Second, a proper pseudo-Grundy coloring of $G$ is called *Grundy*. The *Grundy number* $\Gamma(G)$ (also known as the *first-fit chromatic number*) is the maximum $k$ for which a Grundy $k$-coloring of $G$ exists (see [7, 12]). From the definitions, we have that

$$\omega(G) \leq \Gamma(G) \leq \gamma(G). \tag{5}$$

The following characterization of the graphs call *trivially perfect graphs*, it will be used in the proof of Corollary 3.9.

**Theorem 3.8** (R-M [19])**.** *A graph $G$ is $\omega\gamma$-perfect if and only if $G$ is $(C_4, P_4)$-free.*

It is known that a trivially perfect graph is chordal (see [11]). The following corollary also gives a characterization of two non comparable parameters.

**Corollary 3.9.** *A graph $G$ is $\Gamma h$-perfect if and only if $G$ is $\omega\gamma$-perfect.*

*Proof.* A $\Gamma h$-perfect graph is $(C_4, P_4)$-free because $\Gamma(C_4) = h(P_4) = 2$ and $\Gamma(P_4) = h(C_4) = 3$ (see Figure 1) then by Theorem 3.8, $G$ is $\omega\gamma$-perfect.

For the converse, let $G$ be a $\omega\gamma$-perfect graph. If $H$ is an induced graph of $G$, by Equation 5, $\omega(H) = \Gamma(H)$. Since $G$ is a chordal graph, $\omega(H) = h(H)$, so the implication follows. □

The following corollary is a consequence of the perfection of $\omega\gamma$-perfect graphs.

**Corollary 3.10.** *Every $\Gamma h$-perfect graph is $\omega\chi$-perfect.*

### 3.4 The $b\gamma$-perfect graphs

Finally, we will use the following characterization of the proof of Theorem 3.12.

**Theorem 3.11** (Blidia, Ikhlef, Maffray [6])**.** *A graph $G$ is $b\Gamma$-perfect if and only if $G$ is $(P_4, 3P_3, 2D)$-free.*

We get the following characterization.

**Theorem 3.12.** *A graph $G$ is $b\gamma$-perfect if and only if $G$ is $(C_4, P_4, 3P_3, 2D)$-free.*

*Proof.* Note that, if $H \in \{C_4, P_4, 3P_3, 2D\}$ then $b(H) \neq \gamma(H)$, hence, the implication is true (see Figure 1).

For the converse, a $(C_4, P_4, 3P_3, 2D)$-free graph $G$ is $\omega\gamma$-perfect (by Theorem 3.8) and $b\Gamma$-perfect (by Theorem 3.11). Then, for every induced subgraph $H$ of $G$, $\omega(H) = \gamma(H) = \Gamma(H)$ by Equation 5 and $b(H) = \Gamma(H)$. Therefore, $b(H) = \gamma(H)$ and the result follows. □





Figure 1: Graphs with a complete coloring with numbers and a connected coloring with symbols.

# References


[1] L. Abrams and Y. Berman, *Connected pseudoachromatic index of complete graphs*, Australas. J. Combin. **60** (2014), 314–324.

[2] G. Araujo-Pardo and C. Rubio-Montiel, *On $\omega\psi$-perfect graphs*, Ars Combin. (In press).

[3] G. Araujo-Pardo and C. Rubio-Montiel, *The $\omega\psi$-perfection of graphs*, Electron. Notes Discrete Math. **44** (2013), 163–168.

[4] C. Berge, *Färbung von Graphen, deren sämtliche bzw. ungerade Kreise starr sind*, Wiss. Z. Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg, Math.-Natur. Reihe **10** (1961), 114.

[5] C. Berge, *Perfect graphs*, Studies in graph theory, Part I, Math. Assoc. Amer., Washington, D. C., 1975, pp. 1–22. Studies in Math., Vol. 11.

[6] M. Blidia, N. Ikhlef Eschouf, and F. Maffray, *Characterization of $b\gamma$-perfect graphs*, AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb. **9** (2012), no. 1, 21–29.

[7] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, *Chromatic graph theory*, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.

[8] C. A. Christen and S. M. Selkow, *Some perfect coloring properties of graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **27** (1979), no. 1, 49–59.

[9] M. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour, and R. Thomas, *The strong perfect graph theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **164** (2006), no. 1, 51–229.

[10] G. A. Dirac, *On rigid circuit graphs*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **25** (1961), 71–76.

[11] M. C. Golumbic, *Algorithmic graph theory and perfect graphs*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[12] P. M. Grundy, *Mathematics and games*, Eureka **2** (1939), 6–8.

[13] R. P. Gupta, *Bounds on the chromatic and achromatic numbers of complementary graphs.*, Recent Progress in Combinatorics (Proc. Third Waterloo Conf. on Comb., 1968), Academic Press, New York, 1969, pp. 229–235.

[14] H. Hadwiger, *Ungelöste probleme 26*, Elem. Math. **13** (1958), 128–129.

[15] A. Hajnal and J. Surányi, *Über die Auflösung von Graphen in vollständige Teilgraphen*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös. Sect. Math. **1** (1958), 113–121.

[16] F. Harary, S. Hedetniemi, and G. Prins, *An interpolation theorem for graphical homomorphisms*, Portugal. Math. **26** (1967), 453–462.

[17] L. Lovász, *Normal hypergraphs and the perfect graph conjecture*, Discrete Math. **2** (1972), no. 3, 253–267.

[18] D. Rautenbach and V. E. Zverovich, *Perfect graphs of strong domination and independent strong domination*, Discrete Math. **226** (2001), no. 1-3, 297–311.

[19] C. Rubio-Montiel, *A new characterization of trivially perfect graphs*, Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl. **3** (2015), no. 1, 22–26.

[20] V. Yegnanarayanan, *Graph colourings and partitions*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **263** (2001), no. 1-2, 59–74.